

## SONiC Development Challenges

### Fast growing matrix of

- Contributors
- Features
- Platforms
- Architectures
- Roles

### Master stability issues

- Frequent regressions
- Lack of baseline measurement
- Latency in issue detection and communication

### Merge Latency

- Code review assignment
- Merge approval

## Why Do Regressions Happen?

#### Common causes

- Inadequate testing prior to merge
- Merging changes on already unstable baseline
- Lack of awareness of different form factors (multi-asic, chassis)
- Inability to test on all community platforms/architectures

#### Both in NOS and test code

- Test bugs are much more common, but easier to fix
- NOS bugs are less common but more impactful and take longer to fix

~40 regressions tracked by Arista in the last year



## Instability is a Vicious Cycle

Instability on master means that release branches also start unstable

Significant effort spent in stabilizing the release branch

Stretches the release qualification cycle

More time on release stabilization = lesser focus on master

More bugs creep into master

Rinse ... Repeat ...



# Improvement Strategies

#### Start regular testing on master

- Start off with virtual DUTs (single and multi-asic)
- Expand to a subset of reference physical testbeds
- Goal: One test run completing every day

#### Improve community visibility of test results

- All test results on master are posted in a community visible repository
- Can be used as a baseline to evaluate impact of new changes

Community task force to focus on improving master stability

Eventually integrate some sonic-mgmt testing into the merge workflow

- Having sufficient test resources and stable tests is very important for this



### Questions

How to organize logistics

- Community participants run tests in their environments and post results?
- Common SONiC compute resources for virtual testbeds?
- Common lab with participant contributed gear for physical testbeds?

Where to host the test result repository and how to make it visible?

What about information sensitivity?

Are all participants comfortable with sharing results on their products?

What are the right working groups to involve?



